



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,179	03/30/2006	Toshihiro Iwakuma	287634US2PCT	4095
22850	7590	04/15/2010	EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.				HANLEY, BRITT D
1940 DUKE STREET		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		2889		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/15/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/574,179	IWAKUMA ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
BRITT D. HANLEY	2889	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) BRITT D. HANLEY. (3) _____.

(2) Pranay Pattani. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 March 2010

Time: noon

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

CRC Reference

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The CRC reference was discussed, as was the specific method of determining the affinity level (LUMO level) of the hole blocking and emitting material.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Toan Ton/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2889
 /BRITT D HANLEY/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2889

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)